25X1

4 June 1963

## MEMORAN DUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Discussions with Deputy Secretary Gilpatric, concerning his draft memorandum of May 31, 1963, on operational aspects of NRO (Memorandum

- After discussing the memorandum briefly, I stated that while it appeared that the intent was not to disturb the CIA operation of overflights over denied territory, nevertheless lower echelon officers could interpret the memorandum differently and could create an issue on practically every overflight under consideration. Gilpatric admitted that there was a strong feeling expressed by General LeMay and apparently supported passively by General Taylor and Secretary McNamara that the time had come to move all reconnaissance operations from CIA to the Department of Defense. Gilpatric said this was not the intent of the memorandum, nevertheless, the sentiment existed in
  - 2. I said that if this was the issue, then I'd like the matter settled on the basis of the issue, and after reaching a decision We could draft an appropriate memorandum to cover the agree-DOD. ment. I said, however, that I would oppose such a change for a variety of reasons, not the least of which was the importance of keeping CIA 's "Imaginative" qualities in the reconnaissance picture, CIA's unique ability to keep things secret was essential and would be sacrificed if the project were taken over by the Air Force, and finally, the cost and time required to develop new equipment such as the OXCART would be enormously increased. Gilpatric urged that I not make the issue at this time, stating he felt confident that neither McNamara or General Taylor would be inclined to raise the basic issue because he knew that such a shift would be opposed by the President's Board, and probably by the President himself. Furthermore, it would be interpreted as another civilianmilitary problem, and they had too many of them on their hands at the present time. He therefore urged that I amend

NRO review(s) completed.

the agreement to such an extent as necessary in order to insure that no interpretation could be placed on the agreement which would have the effect of transferring responsibility for planning and carrying out covert flights over denied territory as a CIA responsibility.

- 3. I agreed to do this and to return the agreement to him with suggested amendments and changes. It was not necessary for me to use the memorandum on the responsibility for overflights of denied areas as prepared by Dr. Scoville, nor the basic authority for CIA in the conduct of overflights prepared by Houston. However, these should be kept available for future discussions.
- 4. During the discussion, I was advised that Castro had returned to Cuba. McGeorge Bundy called me concerning a program of overflights. It was agreed that the low-level overflights would take place 48 hours after Castro arrived. Later it was agreed between Gilpatric and myself that the flights would take place on Wednesday. Gilpatric placed the order with the JCS to proceed accordingly. No further approval of higher authority is necessary.
- 5. With respect to the issue between NASA and DOD over the development of reconnaissance equipment, I stated that we felt the Secretary's letter as prepared by Dr. Fubini should go forward and that within a few days thereafter I would communicate with Webb and would write to him concerning the importance of assigning this development to either CIA or the Air Force so that we could meld it with our reconnaissance equipment developments, therefore would avoid any issues of security. This was agreed. We're to proceed accordingly.

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/05/21 : CIA-RDP72R

410R000200110028

4 June 1963 A/DD/C D

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Discussions with Deputy Secretary Gilpatric, concerning his draft memorandum of May 31, 1963, en enerational aspects of NRO (Memorandum

After discussing the memorandum briefly, I stated that while it appeared that the intent was not to disturb the CIA operation of everflights ever denied territory, nevertheless lower echelon officers could interpret the memorandum differently and could create an issue on practically every everflight under consideration. Gilpatric admitted that there was a strong feeling expressed by General Lekiay and apparently supported passively by General Taylor and Secretary McNamara that the time had come to move all reconnaissance operations from GIA to the Department of Defense. Gilpetric said this was not the intent of the memerandum, nevertheless, the sentiment existed in DOD.

2. I said that if this was the issue, them I'd like the matter settled on the basis of the issue, and after reaching a decision we could draft an appropriate memorandum to cover the agreement. I said, however, that I would oppose such a change for a variety of reasons, not the least of which was the importance of keeping CIA 's "Imaginative" qualities in the recommissance picture, CIA's unique ability to keep things secret was essential and would be sacrificed if the project were taken over by the Air Force, and finally, the cost and time required to develop new equipment such as the OECART would be enermously increased. Gilpatric urged that I not make the issue at this time, stating he felt confident that neither McNamara or General Taylor would be inclined to raise the basic issue because he knew that such a shift would be opposed by the President's Board, and probably by the President himself. Furthermore, it would be interpreted as another civilianmilitary problem, and they had too many of them on their hands at the present time. He therefore urged that I amend

**ILLEGIB** 



the agreement to such an extent as necessary in order to insure that no interpretation could be placed on the agreement which would have the effect of transferring responsibility for planning and carrying out covert flights over denied territory as a CIA responsibility.

- 3. I agreed to do this and to return the agreement to him with suggested amendments and changes. It was not necessary for me to use the memorandum on the responsibility for everflights of denied areas as prepared by Dr. Sceville, nor the basic authority for CIA in the conduct of overflights propared by Houston. However, these should be kept available for future discussions.
- 4. During the discussion, I was advised that Castre had returned to Cuba. McGeorge Bundy called me concerning a program of overflights. It was agreed that the low-level overflights would take place 48 hours after Castro arrived. Later it was agreed between Gilpatric and myself that the flights would take place on Wednesday. Gilpatric placed the order with the JCS to preceed accordingly. No further approval of higher authority is necessary.
- With respect to the issue between NASA and DOD over the development of reconnaissance equipment, I stated that we felt the Secretary's letter as prepared by Dr. Fubini should go forward and that within a few days thereafter I would communicate with Webb and weald write to him concerning the importance of assigning this development to either CIA or the Air Force so that we could meld it with our reconnaissance equipment developments, therefore would avoid any issues of security. This was agreed. We're to preced accordingly.

25X1

JAM/bd (Tape)

Approved For Release 2004/05/21 : CIA-RDP72R00410R000200110028-5

3 June 1963

14 000667 18D

The following questions arise in connection with the proposed NRO Agreement:

Modifications as outlined in the McMillan memorandum of April 15th.

1. What are the responsibilities of the DCI and USIB for the establishment of the intelligence requirements and priorities to be fulfilled by aircraft or satellite overflights over denied territories? It is my understanding that a decision was made in the early days of the U-2 that the responsibility for the planning and the conduct of overflights over denied territory was to rest with CIA, not with Defense. This understanding was extended to certain of the satellite photographic programs. This arrangement fulfilled the DCI's responsibility for acquisition of intelligence (except in active theaters during war).

The DCI therefore, through CIA, has established and maintained for almost ten years an operational center under which all flights are planned and executed, including specifying desired targets to be covered by satellite missions or overflights. This organization is in existence and has operated successfully, and was anticipated in the NRO agreement that current procedures should continue as the agreement in Section IIIA provides that the Director of NRO shall make maximum use of technical and operational capabilities and resources of DOD, NSA, and CIA.

Therefore, before discussing any details, I want a resolution of the question by Secretary of Defense and DCI and, if necessary, higher authority, as to whether responsibility for intelligence in denied territories (except for active war areas) is the responsibility of the Director of Central Intelligence or the Secretary of Defense.

NOTE: The Special Group was established for the purpose of keeping Defense, State, and the White House informed on overflights and permitting them to express their views.

- 2. If the present responsibilities are to be continued, then we have to examine the functioning of the NRO as anticipated in the agreement. The failure here is that the partnership is not working because the Deputy to NRO is not functioning in the chain of command. Command responsibilities flow directly from the NRO to the military staff.
- 3. If the responsibilities are to be shifted and the Secretary of Defense is to assume responsibilities for the acquisition of photographic intelligence in denied territories, then CIA's operational capability should be liquidated, Defense capability built up, and the procedures outlined in McMillan's memorandum developed in a form satisfactory to the Secretary of Defense. Under such arrangements, the question should be raised as to the responsibility of the DCI on intelligence matters, the responsibility of USIB. The reason for this is that the vast majority of hard intelligence on such great issues as Soviet long-range striking force, Chinese Communist nuclear capability.

and prior to October 10th, 1962, the developments in Cuba were all acquired by aerial photography of one sort or another under the direction of CIA. The question, therefore, is raised as to whether DCI and CIA can function under an agreement that would transfer control of these intelligence activities to the JCS.

In this connection, my observation is that intelligence acquisition in non-combat areas is of secondary importance to the JCS, and for that matter, to the Secretary of Defense.

- 4. It seems to me that the problem here is a basic fundamental policy issue. If this is settled, then all other problems in a voluminous correspondence by McMillan fall away. If the basic philosophy under which we have operated is to be changed, then complete new agreements should be drawn.
- 5. It seems to me that the whole issue can be resolved very simply by having the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs at the 5412 Committee. Hence, the Secretary of Defense, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs (through him the JCS), the Director of Central Intelligence (through him the CIA), and the White House will all be currently informed on aerial reconnaissance programs.

JAM/bd (tape)

25X1

25X1